

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/241.127	02/01/1999	GEORG SCHWINN	HH253-KFM	8242
7	590 07/16/2002			
MILDE HOFFBERG & MACKLIN			EXAMINER	
10 BANK STREET SUITE 460			RUDDOCK, ULA CORINNA	
WHITE PLAINS, NY 10606			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1771	
•			DATE MAILED: 07/16/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

AS-22

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/241,127

Applicant(s)

Georg Schwinn

Examiner

Ula Corinna Ruddock

Art Unit **1771**

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address		
Period f	or Reply	·		
A SHO	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.			
	ons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In n date of this communication.	no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the		
- If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any rep	eriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply ar to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nd will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Apr 15, 20	002		
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This acti	on is non-final.		
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance e closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex par</i>	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is to the Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	tion of Claims			
4) 🗶	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u>	is/are pending in the application.		
4	a) Of the above, claim(s) 9-19	is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.		
6) 💢	Claim(s) 1-8 and 20	is/are rejected.		
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.		
8) 🗌	Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Applica	tion Papers			
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the di	rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examine		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t			
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) [☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received in Application No		
	application from the International Burea			
	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the			
_	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic			
	☐ The translation of the foreign language provisiona			
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. 33 12U and/or 121.		
Attachm	ent(s) otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).		
	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 09/241,127

Art Unit: 1771

DETAILED ACTION

1. The Examiner has carefully considered Applicant's present response filed April 15, 2002.

The rejection in view of DE 19647458 has been overcome due the statement that both the present invention and DE 19647458 are both commonly owned and have the same inventors.

Claim Objections

Claim 4 is objected to because of the following informalities: in order to conform to standard
 U.S. practice, the word "characterized" in claim 4 should be changed to "wherein."

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "article" in line 9. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Regarding claim 6, the phrase "waffle-like" renders the claim(s) indefinite because the claim(s) include(s) elements not actually disclosed (those encompassed by "waffle-like"), thereby rendering the scope of the claim(s) unascertainable. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Application/Control Number: 09/241,127 Page 3

Art Unit: 1771

The dependent claims are rejected as being dependent upon a rejected independent claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Austin et al. (US 5,415,925). Austin et al. disclose a nonwoven fabric comprising at least two nonwoven webs bonded by a lightweight adhesive web. The outer plies are nonwoven fibrous webs, i.e. fibrous battings (col 3, ln 40-66). With regard to claim 8, the webs can be composed of polyethylene filaments (col 3, ln 45-52). The plies are bonded using an adhesive layer (col 4, ln 49-50) and can be applied in a continuous or discontinuous pattern and in uniform or random point patterns (col 4, ln 61-64). With regard to claim 2, the patterns can be applied by a printing method (col 4, ln 65-67). The adhesive layer must be of a very low basis weight so that it does not significantly alter the flexibility and hand properties of the composite fabric. The adhesive layer forms a very light, wispy, gossamer web of adhesive which can be hardly be seen in the fabric, but which provides excellent inter-ply adhesion (col 5, ln 10-20). The adhesive is preferably a

Application/Control Number: 09/241,127 Page 4

Art Unit: 1771

thermoplastic-based hot melt adhesive which is tacky at room temperature and can be styrene-butadiene copolymers and polyolefin-based polymers (col 4, ln 52-60). Therefore, because Austin's adhesives are the same as those disclosed on page 10 of the present specification, they would have elastic properties at room temperature such that the inner layer causes the article to recover after tensile loading, thereby to allow said article to be elastically stretched.

With regard to claims 1, 4-7, and 20, while Austin et al. teach that the adhesive can be applied in a continuous or discontinuous pattern and in uniform or random point patterns, it fails to specifically disclose that the adhesive is applied in a latticework configuration, in parallel strips, parallel strips that are straight or meandering, zig-zag or sinusoid curves wherein each adjacent pair of strands have vertices which touch or overlap in a mirror symmetric configuration, forming a waffle-like configuration, and interrupted segments. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have applied the adhesive of Austin et al. in the various patterns claimed by Applicant, motivated by the desire to obtain a laminate with breathability and flexibility in the desired portions of the laminated web.

7. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Austin et al. (US 5,415,925), as shown above, in view of Strack et al. (US 5,681,645). Austin et al. disclose the claimed invention except for the specific teaching that the printing process is selected from the group consisting of intaglio printing, flexoprinting, and screen printing. Strack et al. (US 5,681,645)

Application/Control Number: 09/241,127 Page 5

Art Unit: 1771

disclose flat elastomeric nonwoven laminates having an adhesive pre-applied to the nonwoven elastomeric web (col 9, ln 47-49). The adhesive is applied by screen printing or flexographic printing (col 9, ln 54-62). It would have been obvious to use the adhesive printing methods as taught by Strack et al. on the nonwoven fabric of Austin et al., motivated by the desire to obtain a fabric having elasticity and to allow for air permeability.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-8 and 20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ula C. Ruddock whose telephone number is (703) 305-0066. The Examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 6:30 AM to 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's Supervisor Terrel Morris can be reached at (703) 308-2414.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2351.

Ula C. Ruddock WCC Patent Examiner Art Unit 1771 July 15, 2002 Mla Puddock